



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/476,415	12/30/1999	DALE SANDBERG	3855.29	7821
21999	7590	06/24/2008		
KIRTON AND MCCONKIE 60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE, SUITE 1800 SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111			EXAMINER ALTSCHUL, AMBER L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3626	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/24/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/476,415

Applicant(s)

SANDBERG, DALE

Examiner

AMBER L. ALTSCHUL

Art Unit

3626

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) David Conklin.

(3) _____.

(2) Amber Altschul.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 20 June 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: Claim 21.

Identification of prior art discussed: U.S. Patent No. 5,924,074 to Evans; U.S. Patent No. 5,732,221 to Feldon et al.; U.S. Patent No. 5,772,585 to Lavin et al.; U.S. Patent No. 6,341,265 to Provost et al.; U.S. Patent No. 6,434,531 to Lancelot et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Claim rejections will be reconsidered in light of formally written amendments to the claims. No agreements were reached with respect to the application of the identified prior art to the rejections of the claims. Applicants are going to amend the claim discussed to better convey their invention. The possible changes to Claim 21 may overcome the identified prior art. Another search would be required based on the discussed amendments to claim 21.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Amber L. Altschul/

Examiner, Art Unit 3626

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required